

PUBLICATION TRENDS ANALYSIS

A NEW PERSPECTIVE ON ASSESSING RESEARCH OUTPUT

Sandeep S. Kamat and Ivan J. Dsouza Bioviser Universal Research Inc, Sugar Land, TX 77479



Bioviser Universal Research has considerable experience of working with biomedical researchers and clinicians in furthering human health. Our expertise lies at the intersection of medical research, data science, and scientific communications. There is tremendous untapped potential for leveraging our services to drive efficiency and foster innovation in your pursuit for advancing human health research for space exploration.

We aim to translate our deep expertise in patient healthcare and clinical research to support researchers in their quest to develop countermeasures against spaceflight risks. Our technolog-yenabled solutions can be used in real-time health monitoring and other public health applications.

We will leverage our decadal experience in the pharmaceutical industry to contribute meaningfully to space health research. We envision a complementary role alongside industry peers, contributing to the growth and diversity of the space health research ecosystem.



BACKGROUND

Supporting the development of efficient countermeasures against spaceflight-related risks, NASA's Human Research Roadmap is a compendium of interlinked Risks, Gaps, and Tasks, along with the associated publications.¹ We believe that a **quantitative analysis of these publications may reveal new actionable insight on the research activities** supported by NASA's Human Research Program.

Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs), maintained by the Human System Risk Board (HSRB), are causal diagrams that demonstrate relationships between human system risks (Figure 1).² DAGs are intended to improve insight and communication of risks across the myriad of subject matter experts interested in human system risk reduction.

We tested an **unconventional approach of mapping journal publications** indexed in NASA's Task Book **to risk concepts described in the DAGs** in an attempt **to reveal gaps and opportunities** for further research into reducing human system risks.



Figure 1: DAG framework

METHODS

Our analysis focused only on peer-reviewed journal articles published during 2018-2023 and indexed under "Human Research" in the NASA Task Book.3 Bibliographies were downloaded in Excel format by calendar year and references were deduplicated.

Based on the **research and keywords** described in the abstracts, each article was (1) **assigned to** one or more of the 30 **spaceflight Risks** and (2) **tagged with** several **"research concepts"** that we **coined from the Nodes terminology** used in DAGs.

We assessed the overall annual publication volumes and the number of journal articles for each Risk. We then **tried to recognize trends in research based on the frequency of the research concepts.**



Table 1. Volume of Human Research Literature Curated in the NASA Task Book bibliography*

Type of publication	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Abstracts	186	148	135	164	191	102
Peer-reviewed articles	283	249	330	289	234	146
Deduplicated articles	194	171	220	188	180	107
Other articles	4	8	13	6	1	3
Papers from meetings	16	16	11	15	16	8
Book chapters	5	11	42	5	6	4
Dissertations and thesis	4	3	8	5	3	1
NASA tech documents	5	1	0	6	0	0
Patents	0	1	0	0	1	0
*Data cut-off Dec 31, 2023						

Annual publication volumes appear stable and range bound for the assessment period **(Table 1)**. The spike in journal articles in 2020 during the pandemic may reflect greater researcher timeshare being devoted to paper writing than to activities requiring in-person collaboration.

A possible decline in journal articles in 2023 may be attributed to lag times in the publishing process or in recording the publications in the Task Book.

Approximately 30% of peer-reviewed journal articles were tagged to multiple HRR Tasks, reflecting the integrated systems approach to developing countermeasures.

Table 2 shows the volume of journal articles bucketed by year and tagged to human system spaceflight risks as well as HSRB's assessment status for these risks as of June 2023.

By considering the **number of journal articles as a surrogate measure of research activity,** these data could help identify

- Areas where additional research is required for risks to reach an acceptable level or
- Extensively researched areas where higher publication numbers would be expected.

For example, Sleep, Immune, and Microhost risks have a **similar HSRB assessment status**, while Sleep research has produced a **notably higher number of publications** than Immune or Microhost research.



Table 2. Volume of Peer-Reviewed Journal Articles from the NASA TaskBook Plotted Against Human System Risks Based on Details in Abstracts

Table 2. Volume of Peer-Reviewed Journal Articles from the NASA Task Book Plotted Against Human System Risks Based on Details in Abstracts								NA up In-	SA H (Jun miss	HSR e 20 sion	B Ris)23) Risl	sk Re c - Oj	epor pera	t Ro tion	ll- Is
								0 D	D-1Y	Orbit <30 D	Orbit 30 D –	0+S <30 D	0+S 30 D - 1	17	30-1224 D
Risks	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Total	E × O	EO 30	unar (unar (unar (unar (ars <	ars 7
	Isol	ation ar	nd Confi	nement	t				2	5	2	2	2	Σ	Σ
Behavioral Med	26	24	29	42	30	19	170								
Team	19	14	11	9	10	5	68								
		Ra	diation					_							
Carcinogenesis	23	21	27	15	20	9	115								
Non-ionizing rad.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
		Altere	ed Gravi	ty										_	
SANS	10	9	10	19	16	6	70								
Cardiovascular	16	10	18	10	15	7	76						_		
Muscle/Aerobic	6/3	12/2	6/0	3/0	3/0	3/0	33/5								
Bone fracture	14	6	13	6	4	2	45	_							
Renal stone	6	2	7	0	4	0	19								
Sensorimotor	22	5	9	10	11	6	63								
Crew egress	0	1	1	0	5	0	7								
Urinary retn.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
VTE concern	0	0	0	0	2	0	2								
	Host	tile Clos	ed Envi	ronmen	t										
Sleep loss	15	17	17	16	12	9	86								
Immune	10	8	10	6	8	2	44								
Microhost	5	4	6	9	6	3	33								
Hearing loss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
CO2	0	3	1	0	0	0	4								
Dynamic loads	0	3	1	2	0	0	6								
EVA	0	0	0	1	0	0	1								
Electrical shock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Toxic exposure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
DCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Celestial dust	0	1	0	0	1	0	2								
Hypoxia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
Distance from Earth													_		
Medical cond.	1	9	10	11	13	15	59								
Food & Nutrition	3	1	14	4	10	3	35								
HSIA	3	1	0	4	3	5	16								
Pharm	1	0	0	1	0	0	2								
		Multip	le Haza	rds											
Multiple Risks	11	18	25	19	17	13	103								



Figure 2: Heatmaps of Research Concepts in Journal Articles Plotted Against Spaceflight Risks

	Research Topics/Keywords in Journal Articles	BMed	Team	Sleep	Immune	MicroHost	Nutrition	Medical	HSIA	Carcinogenesis	cvs	Muscle/Aerobic	Bone	Renal stone	SANS	Sensorim otor
	Artificial gravity	1									2		1		1	7
Altered gravity	Cardiac changes	1		4	1			1			38	1				
	Muscle changes											23	7			
	Bone changes			1			1					2	25	3		
	Nephrolithiasis							1						10		
	Ocular changes	1					1	8							51	2
	ICP	3									1				14	2
	Ruid shifts	4									6				20	2
	Sensorimotor-related	4										1			1	24
	Cancar				2			1		26	1			1		
E	Charded particles	65		5	0		2	2		40	14	1	5	1	2	4
atio	Padiation doco	00		1	2		2	1		17	24	1	J		1	-
adi	Pustandor offect	2		1	3			-		0	2				-	
æ	DNA damado/ropair	2			1		1	1		20	1					
	DINA damage/repair	2			-		-	-		23	-					
e	Immune dysregulation	8			15	5	2	2		8	3	1				
stil	Infection				5	10		7								
ę	Microbiome	5			1	24	9	2					1			
sed	CO2	4					5								5	5
ŝ	Injury	3			1							1	1			
-	Sleep/Circadian	4	1	53												
	BHP factors	49	16	12			1	1		1						
bər	Cognitive function	89	6	13	1		-	1		2	1					6
ц,	Psychologic factors	13	5	2				-		-	-					-
CO	Scheduling/Shift		3	11					2							
tec	Workload	3	1	1					2			1				
sola	Team dynamics	4	51	-					2			-				
	Performance	29	21	13			1		2		2	1			2	11
	Aging	2		_	4			1		2	1		2			3
	CNS changes	67		5	3	2		3	1		2				12	14
	Countermeasures	17	2	9	4	2	4	2		7	9	4	3	2	13	6
	Biomarkers	5		6	8	4		8		6	6	1	1		4	
	Diagnostics/Monitoring		1		3	1	1	24		1	4	1	1	3		
	Individual factors	1	1	8			2	1			3				1	1
	Genomics	1		2		13		3		15	3	1	2		1	
s	Nutrition 0 Front										-	~	-		-	_
1g	Nutrition & Food	1		4	4	4	26	4			3	2	2		2	
fac	Metabolism			0		1	1	1			1		~			
no L	Endocrine	1	0	2	•	4		1			0	0	2		4	
Ē	Exercise	45	2	1	8	1			4		3	ð	3		1	4
ပိ	Spacenugrit stress	12		1	8	2	1		1	7	3		1		1	1
	Loflomportion	11			2		1	2		2	2		2		1	
	noitenini Ovidative evitebiv	6		1	3	1	3	2		6	2	2	2		2	
	Ovidative Stress	0		1		1	0			0	5	2	2		2	
	Autono mou s system	1	6	1			1	6	2	1						
	Medications/Drugs	10		1	4	1	2	7		11	3	2	2			
	Treatment/Therapy	3		3	1	1		10		2	2	2	1	6		1
	Training	3	5	1				5			1					5
	HSI								10							

Figures 2 shows a heatmap of research concepts from journal articles published during 2019-2023 plotted against spaceflight risks associated with the most publication activity. Such graphical analysis could reveal research gaps or help identify new opportunities. For example, bystander (non-targeted) effects of radiation have been studied mainly in cancer-related research and to a lesser extent in cardiovascular and BMed research. Thus. bystander effects may be studied in relation to other risk systems. Similarly, there may be opportunities to leverage the extensive research in biomarkers, genomics, diagnostics, and individual factors to develop personalized medicine approaches for astronauts.



Heatmap of Research Topics Covered in Literature Related to

BMed Risk

Research Topics or Keywords					
in Literature Related to	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
BMed Risk					
BHP factors	11	12	15	6	5
Bystander effect	1	0	1	0	1
Charged particles	11	13	22	13	6
CNS changes	15	15	18	13	6
CO2	1	1	2	0	0
Cognitive function	18	17	30	13	8
Countermeasures	0	2	8	5	0
DNA damage/repair	0	1	0	1	0
Exercise	1	0	0	1	1
Fatigue	0	1	0	0	0
Fluid shifts	3	1	0	0	0
HLU/HDBR	1	2	5	0	0
HSI	1	0	0	0	0
ICP	1	0	1	0	0
Immune	1	1	3	2	1
Inflammation	0	3	2	5	1
Medications/Drugs	2	3	3	2	0
Microbiome	1	2	0	2	0
Nutrition/food	0	1	0	0	0
Oxidative stress	1	2	1	1	1
Performance	4	6	9	3	4
Psychologic	2	1	5	4	1
Radiation dose	2	1	3	0	2
Sensorimotor-related	1	0	1	0	2
Sensory augmentation	0	0	1	1	0
Sleep	0	1	2	0	1
Stress	0	2	6	4	3
Treatment	0	0	1	1	1
Training	1	1	0	1	0
Workload	1	0	1	0	1
BHP assessed in literat	ure rel	ated to	o other	Risks	_
Sleep Risk	2	3	6	2	0
Team Risk	5	1	4	5	1

Sensorimotor Risk

Research Topics or Keywords					
in Literature Related to	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Sensorimotor Risk					
Aging	1	1	0	0	1
Adaptation	0	0	2	4	2
Artificial gravity	0	0	2	1	4
Charged particles	0	1	2	1	0
CNS/Brain	0	4	5	3	2
CO2	0	1	4	0	0
Cognition	1	0	3	2	0
Countermeasures	0	0	1	2	3
Fine motor control	0	0	3	1	1
HDBR	0	1	4	0	0
Intracranial pressure	0	2	0	0	0
Microgravity	1	1	1	1	2
Multisensory integration	2	1	2	1	0
Motion sickness	0	0	0	1	1
Neuromodulation	1	3	0	0	0
Ocular	0	0	2	0	0
Performance	1	2	3	3	2
Postural control/locomotion	4	3	7	8	2
Proprio ception	1	0	1	0	0
Spatial orientation	2	1	3	3	2
Training	1	1	0	2	1
Vestibular factors	4	3	6	7	2
Vestibuloocular	1	0	3	3	2
Vision/gaze control	2	1	2	2	2



BMed Risk

Research Topics or									
Keywords in Literature	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023				
Related to Immune Risk									
Aging	0	0	2	2	0				
Biomarker	2	3	2	1	0				
Cancer	1	0	1	1	0				
Cell signaling	1	1	0	0	0				
Charged particles	2	2	1	2	1				
CNS/Brain	1	1	0	1	0				
Cognition	0	0	0	1	0				
Countermeasures	2	1	1	0	0				
Diagnostics/Monitoring	1	0	1	1	0				
DNA damage/repair	1	0	0	0	0				
Exercise	1	4	3	0	0				
Hematopoietic	1	0	0	0	0				
Immune dysregulation	1	5	3	5	1				
Immune response	1	4	5	3	1				
Immunomodulation	2	3	0	2	0				
Infection	0	3	1	1	0				
Inflammation	2	1	0	0	0				
Injury	0	1	0	0	0				
Lab/Biochemistry	1	0	0	1	1				
Medical illness	1	0	0	2	0				
Medication/Drug	0	3	0	1	0				
Microbiome	0	0	0	1	0				
Microgravity	0	2	0	0	0				
Nutrition/Supplements	1	1	0	1	1				
Omics	2	2	2	1	2				
Radiation dose	1	0	1	1	0				
Spaceflight stress	3	2	0	2	1				
Treatment/Therapy	0	0	0	1	0				
Vaccination	2	0	2	0	0				
Viral reactivation	2	4	0	1	0				
Immunology in literature related to other RISKS									
BMed Risk	1	1	2	1	1				
Carcinogenesis Risk	1	4	4	0	0				
Cardiovascular Risk	1	1	0	1	1				
Microhost Risk	1	3	0	1	0				
Muscle Risk	0	1	0	0	0				
Medical Risk	0	1	6	0	0				



DISCUSSION

Through this unconventional approach, we aimed to **introduce an alternative approach for researchers to analyze literature in their fields and draw conclusions based on their subject area expertise,** rather than make concrete recommendations for further research.

Research funding agencies and administrators may adapt this approach to their planning and auditing activities.

The **subjectivity** in interpretation and tagging of journal articles to research concepts **is a limitation of our approach.** Also, the analysis focused solely on journal articles curated in the Task Book bibliography, which may not be fully representative of the ongoing research activity. A **more detailed qualitative gap analysis** of literature would yield more granular and precise insight on research needs.

Some of our recommendations from conducting this task are as follows:

- Given the ongoing development of the Mega DAG, our approach could be adapted to create a hyperlinked interactive tool, where individual publications are tagged to one or more relevant DAG nodes and are easily retrievable for an on-demand comprehensive assessment of the risk status.
- We noticed keywords closely corresponding to DAG terminology in a small proportion of publications. This practice should be encouraged to support the development of tools based on literature indexing.
- A bottom-up approach through literature analysis may yield new insight into DAG structure and nomenclature. For example, the concept of attention/alertness may need coverage in either BMed, Sensorimotor, or Sleep DAG.

REFERENCES

- 1. Human Research RoadMap. https://humanresearchroadmap.nasa.gov/evidence/
- 2. Directed Acyclic Graph Guidance Documentation. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/20220006812
- 3. NASA Task Book Bibliography. https://taskbook.nasaprs.com/tbp/index.cfm?action=bib_search

Sandeep Kamat

sandeep.kamat@bioviseruniversal.com www.bioviseruniversal.com

Scan the QR to check out my LinkedIn profile:

